Maximizing the Efficiency of Center Pivots

Beef Cattle & Forage Field Day May 10, 2019

Guy Fipps, PhD, P.E. Professor and Extension Agricultural Engineer Biological and Agricultural Engineering Department Texas A&M University, College Station

gfipps@tamu.edu

Topics:

- I. What's New in Center Pivots
- II. Getting an Efficient New Pivot
- III. Improving the Efficiency of Older Pivots
 - Switching to more efficient water applicators
 - Dealing with falling groundwater levels



Control and Management Systems



FIELDNET® | INTEGRATED REMOTE IRRIGATION MANAGEMENT



FITS ALMOST ANY BRAND OF ELECTRIC PIVOT



Valley pioneered the center pivot industry in 1954. Since then, we've led the way with advanced technology built into reliable products. We listen to your needs and continue to offer industry-leading solutions.

Valley, smart control panels are designed to decrease the time and effort you spend, eliminate unnecessary visits to your field, give you the control you need to manage your irrigation operation simply and efficiently.



Off the shelve Variable Rate Irrigation







TRACKING SOLUTIONS



Continued evolution in water applicators



Drag line system ("mobile drip")



Drag-line evaluation at the Texas A&M University Farm – Fipps, 2016



Terminology – water application systems

On top of mainline MESA LESA LEPA

On top of main line

MESA (medium elevation spray applicators)

MESA (medium elevation spray applicators)

Over-pressured MESA system

LEPA (low energy precision applicators)

bubble mode - 44

LEPA with alternate row furrow dikes



Terminology – water application systems

On top of mainline MESA LESA LEPA On top of mainline Above-canopy In-canopy Close drop spacing (with either LESA or LEPA)

LDN LEPA Options



LEPA CLOSE SPACING

Save Water, Use Less Energy and Increase Yield

AGRICULTURAL IRRIGATION Low Pressure - High Performance





Other spray pad surfaces available. Consult factory. Small 12-grooved pads available (Used with UP3 Nozzles #2, #2.5, #3, #3.5). 120-Mesh Filtration Recommended.

Getting a new pivot with maximum efficiency

You just need to know what to ask for...

Pivot Design

1. Actual lowest and highest elevations in field with relation to the pivot point were used in the computer design printout.

2. Actual measured flow rate and pressure available from pump or water source was used in the computer design printout.

3. Friction loss in pivot mainline is no greater than 10 psi for quarter-mile long systems.

4. Mainline outlets are spaced a maximum of 60 to 80 inches apart or, alternately, no farther apart than two times the crop row spacing. 5. For non-leveled fields, less than 20 percent pressure variation in system-design operating pressure is maintained when pivot is positioned at highest and lowest points in the field (computer design printout provided for each case).

6. Pressure regulators were evaluated for fields with more than 5 feet of elevation change from pad to the highest or the lowest points in the field.

7. Tower wheels and motor sizes were selected based on soil type and slope following manufacturers' recommendations.

8. Dealer has provided a copy of pivot design printout.

Water Applicators

No end gun.

Either LEPA or LESA applicators with:

- an operating pressure requirement of 6 psi,
- positioned 1 to 1.5 feet above the ground
- spaced at 2 times the crop row spacing, or 30-40 inches.
 - a. LEPA (low elevation precision application) a LDN or similar model of water applicator that has easily switched plates for LEPA bubble mode.
 - b. LESA (low elevation spray application) a LDN, supper spray or similar product

Accessories

Propeller flow meter or other type of flow measurement device having accuracy to \pm 3 percent.

- Reads flow rate (i.e., gpm) and total gallions
- The flow meter should be installed in a long straight section of pipeline at least 10 pipe diameters upstream and 5 pipe diameters downstream from any changes in pipeline.

System includes two pressure gauges, one on the mainline near the pivot point and one in the last drop,



Figure 3. Sample design computer printout.

Pivot identification Pivot location Design flow rate Design Pressure at the end Pressure at pivot] & J Farms Section 130 625.00 GPM 4.00 PSI 13.67 PSI			Overall length Drop tube length Regulator position (from mainline) Design elevation of end tower End gun GPM				1309.00 ft 12.50 ft 12.00 ft +7.0 ft, -8.0 ft 0		
SPAN NO.	SPAN LENGTH (ft)	MAINLINE DIAMETER (inches)	NUMBER OF DROPS	DROP SPACING (ft)	DROP DIAMETER (inches)	1st DROP POSITION (ft)	REGULATOR SIZE (psi)	ACRES			
1	160	6.38	19	6.67	0.75	36.60	6	1.84			
2	160	6.38	24	6.67	0.75	3.335	6	5.53			
5 4	160	638	24	0.07 6.67	0.75	3 335	6	9.25 12.92			
5	160	6.38	24	6.67	0.75	3.335	6	16.61			
6	160	6.38	24	6.67	0.75	3.335	6	20.30			
7	160	6.38	24	6.67	0.75	3.335	6	23.99			
0 9	29	0.38 5.78	24	0.07 6.67	0.75	3.333	6 6	27.08			
Total	1309	5.70	192	0.07	0.75	5.555	Ũ	123.51			
1. Mainline	e outlet num	ber from pivo	t point	101 102 10	c. 524						
2. Distances in feet between outlets or span length between towers											
	≜	3. Distance in	feet from piv	ot point to a	outlet or tower						
حــر			4. GPM need	ed based on	the area cover	ed by the appl	icator				
\sim			*	5. Actual GP	'M delivered by	the applicato	r based on the app	licator's nozzle s	ize and opera	ating pressure	
					6. Pressure in p	si in the mainl	ine at the outlet				
7. Pressure at the nozzle (when pressure regulators are used, the pressure at the nozzle should											
					624 VA	be no less u	8. Brand name and	d/or type of app) blicator and ne	ozzle size (nozz	le size is reported
						Î	either by numb	per or actual size	in inches)		
							⁹ لم	Applicator nur	nber or positi	on on mainline	
								1	10. Pressure re flow capa flow), HF	egulator's branc city (GPM) ofte (high flow), etc	l name, psi rating, and n expressed as LF (low
									A I	11. Plug numbe	r, if outlet is plugged
										↓ 1	2. Distance from furrow
12.17							20 M				arm to applicator, incres
¥	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
(1)	(2)	3	(4)	(\mathbf{S})	6	$\overline{\mathcal{O}}$	8	Ø	(0)	(1)	(12)
OUTLET	LAST	DISTANC	CE GPM	GPM	PIPE	NOZZLE	SPRINKLER LABE	EL SPRK	REG	PLUG	DROP
NO.	OUTLET	TO PIVC	T NEED	DEL.	PSI	PSI	& NOZZLE SIZI	E NO.	SIZE	NO.	LENGTH
1		6.08								1	
2	36.60	36.60	0.18	0.29	13.27	6.66	4.0	1	6LF		150
3	6.67	43.27	0.21	0.29	13.20	6.66	4.0	2	бLF		156
4	6.67	49.94	0.24	0.29	13.13	6.66	4.0	3	6LF		156
5	6.67	56.61	0.27	0.29	13.05	6.66	4.0	4	6LF		162
L											
20	6.67	156.66	0.76	0.76	11.86	6.66	6.5	19	6LF		144

Tower 1	160 00	160 00								
21	6.67	163.33	0.79	0.76	11.79	6.66	6.5	20	6LF	144
22	6.67	170.00	0.82	0.88	11.72	6.66	7.0	21	6LF	144
23	6.67	176.67	0.85	0.88	11.65	6.66	7.0	22	6LF	150
24	6.67	183.84	0.89	0.88	11.58	6.66	7.0	23	6LF	150
25 	6.67	190.01	0.92	0.88	11.50	6.66	7.0	24	6LF	156
44	6.67	316.67	1.53	1.61	10.20	6.66	9.5	43	6LF	144
ower 2	160.00	320.00								
45	6.67	323.33	1.56	1.61	10.03	6.66	9.5	44	6LF	144
46	6.67	330.00	1.59	1.61	9.96	6.66	9.5	45	6LF	144

Figure 4. Sample precipitation chart.

IRRIGATOR – XXXXX

MOTOR SIZE (HP) = 1 LOADED MOTOR RPM = 1745 CENTER GEAR BOX RATIO = 58T01

13

WHEEL GEAR BOX RATIO = 50T01 TIRE SIZE = 11.2 X 24.0 LAST TOWER MAX. SPEED (FPM) = 5.90

Irrigation Precipitation Chart



13

Converting Older Pivot to a More Efficient Water Application Package

Case Study:

An On-Farm Irrigation Demonstration was conducted in Burleson County beginning in 2011.

The demonstration focused on converting spans of a center pivot currently equipped with conventional water applicators to LESA (Low Energy Spray Application).





Water Application Package	Average Yield
Conventional (i-Wob)	25 bu/ac
LESA	95 bu/ac

Converting an old pivot

You will need:

- 1. Pumping capacity (flow rate and pressure)
- 2. Elevation change between the pivot point and end of the pivot when parked at the highest and lowest points in the field
- 3. Existing pivot printout

(if you do not have your pivot printout, check with the dealer that installed the pivot or have another one run)

Converting an old pivot

Next:

- 1. Have your dealer run a new printout with the chosen water applicators (LESA or LEPA)
- 2. Install water applicators each applicator will be numbered as shown in the design printout
- 3. Use double goosenecks/trust rods as needed to add additional drops for closer drop spacings
- 4. Use compression clamps for securing drop hose to water applicators and pressure regulators
- 5. Don't forget to install a weight on each drop
- 6. Check for leaks
- 7. Have a cool one to celebrate!

Photos of some of the steps and components









Dealing with falling water levels in wells

- Declining water levels in wells will negatively impact your irrigation efficiency
- Often this results in insufficient flows and pressures
- Its important to monitor flows with a flow meter and pressures with two pressure gauges (pivot point/last drop)
- For short term water declines (such as during the peak irrigation period), pivots can be renozzled based upon the current pumping capacity
 - Have new printout run based upon the current pumping capacity
 - Switch out the old nozzle sizes with the new nozzles following the design printout

Dealing with falling water levels in wells

- For long-term water declines, be sure to check your pump's performance curve
- otherwise, excessive energy use may result



Pumping head

Pumping head includes:

- 1. How high water is lifted
- 2. Operation pressure of the irrigation system
- 3. Friction losses

Total pumping head = lift + operating pressure + friction losses



Flow Rate - q_{\perp}





Example – I have a 13.91" Series 4300 pump, with a flow rate of 600 gpm, and total head of 160 feet. What is my pumping efficiency?



Example – I have a 13.91" Series 4300 pump, with a flow rate of 600 gpm, and total head of 160 feet. What is my pumping efficiency? 75.8%

Example 2

In the past 10 years, water level in my well has dropped 20 ft. How has this impacted my pumping capacity?

Example 2

In the past 10 years, water level in my well has dropped 20 ft. How has this impacted my pumping capacity?

As a result:

my pumping head has increased from 160 ft to 180 ft. Flow has been reduced from 600 gpm to 400 gpm My pumping efficiency has fallen from 75.8% to 70%



Example 2

In the past 10 years, water level in my well has dropped 20 ft. How has this impacted my pumping capacity?

<u>As a result</u>:

- my pumping head has increased from 160 ft to 180 ft
- flow has been reduced from 600 gpm to 400 gpm
- pumping efficiency has fallen from 75.8% to 70%

Questions....?

A copy of this presentation will be posted on Monday, May 13 at

http://gfipps.tamu.edu